

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/736,622	12/17/2003	Manwinder Singh	4320-532	4058
,	7590 05/12/2004		EXAMINER	
BERESKIN AND PARR			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
SCOTIA PLAZA 40 KING STREET WEST-SUITE 4000 BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ŧ
-	10/736,622	SINGH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
-	Krishnan S Menon	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	VIO OST TO SVDIDE AMO	NITE I/C) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repipply within the statutory minimum of thirty (divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	December 2003.		
•	is action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		wtho Evaminer	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an oriority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Si	ımmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/736,622

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cote et al (US 5,607,593).

Claim 1: Cote teaches a reactor for filtering water comprising (see fig 1, 7):

- (a) one or more modules of filtering membranes (3) located within a tank (7);
- (b) a source of transmembrane pressure to the membranes for withdrawing a permeate from the insides of the immersed membranes (pump 17),
- (c) an aeration system operable to supply bubbles to the tank to inhibit fouling of the membranes (pump/blower 19),
- (d) a feed inlet for introducing feed water to the tank (4);
- (e) a retentate outlet for removing retentate from the tank (28);
- (9 a gas recirculation system to collect one or more gases liberated from feed water in the tank and return the collected gases to the aeration system (col 4 lines 18-25, col 3 lines 27-32, col 5 lines 53-55, col 4 line 66 col 5 line 13, and col 7 lines 37-58; Fig 7 and 8; col 11 lines 32-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,622

Art Unit: 1723

Claims 2 and 3: lid for the tank – see 12 in the figures and col 9 lines 3-5. Also tank 1 is depicted as closed. Transmembrane pressure is applied by suction – see pump 17.

Claim 5: gas circulation system having an inlet or an exhaust – see blower (19) connection to line 5 – gas inlet from atmosphere; hood 12 exhaust – gas outlet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cote (593) in view of Key et al (US 4,132,637).

Cote teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Claim 4 adds the further limitation of a gas dryer to dry the gases before entering a blower in the gas recirculating system, which Cote does not teach. Key teaches a gas recirculating system (fig 3) having a dryer (64) and compressor (38) for recycling gases in a waste treatment system. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Key in the teaching of Cote for the gas recycle system to recycle the gases of Cote for a more efficient use of the ozonation gases (see Key col 6 lines 5-20).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner

W. L. WALKER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700